| Item No. | Classification: | Date: Meeting Name: | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Open | 2 October 2017 | Strategic director of housing and modernisation | | | | | |
| Report title: | | Gateway 1 Procurement Strategy Approval | | | | | | |
| | | Responsive Repair and Maintenance - Communal Repairs Contracts (North & South) | | | | | | |
| Ward(s) or | groups affected: | All | | | | | | |
| From: | | Director of Asset Management | | | | | | |

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. That the strategic director of housing and modernisation approves the procurement strategy outlined in this report, namely to undertake a competitive tender using the council's works approved list for two (2) communal repairs contracts as detailed below at an estimated annual combined cost of £1.3m for a period of two years with the option to extend by a further year making a total estimated value of £3.9m commencing 3 October 2018.
 - Contract A South of the borough £600,000 per annum
 - Contract B North of the borough £700,00 per annum

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2. Currently, there is a contract for long term repairs and maintenance (the contract) with Mears Ltd (Mears) for the south of the borough and there is a service level agreement (SLA) in place with the council's Southwark Building Service (SBS) for the north of the borough, which provide housing repair and maintenance works to the geographical divisions.
- 3. The contract with Mears commenced on 3 October 2013 for an initial five year period, with the option to extend for a further 5 years (three years plus two years) for an estimated value of £55m. The initial period is due to expire on 2 October 2018. At this time, there are no plans to utilise the extension option.
- 4. The SLA with SBS commenced on 3 June 2009 for a 10 year period and is due to expire on 8 June 2019.
- 5. The SLA and the contract provide the following works across all trades to residential buildings;
 - Day to day responsive repairs.
 - · Void works.
 - Out of hours emergency works.
- 6. Both Mears and SBS sub-contract responsive repairs and maintenance communal repairs works.
- 7. An options appraisal, for the future repairs service, was presented to cabinet member for Housing and cabinet member for Finance, Modernisation and Performance on 11 September 2017. This report detailed various options with the recommendation to;

- Implement a new SLA with SBS to deliver internal non leasehold chargeable repairs to tenant properties.
- Procure a number of measured term contracts for leasehold chargeable works as detailed below;
 - Two responsive repair and maintenance (2) communal repairs contracts.
 - ii. Two responsive repair and maintenance (2) roofing and rainwater contracts.
 - iii. Two responsive repair and maintenance (2) fire protection contracts.
 - iv. One responsive repair and maintenance (1) metal works contract.
- 8. The asset management team undertake regular housing inspections of communal repairs to ensure works are completed and free from hazards.
- 9. The responsive repairs and maintenance contracts aim to maintain communal areas across the council's housing stock. These contracts will complement the quality homes improvement programmes (QHIP).
- 10. The proposed scope of works will be:
 - Roads, Pavements, soft and hard standings and associated works.
 - · Below and above ground Drainage and associated works
 - Water Mains repair and replacement, including all enabling and associated ground works,
 - Boundary walls and fences
 - Masonry repairs and redecoration works
 - Windows, Doors, glazing and associated works
 - All scaffolding, mobile towers, hydraulic lifts and working platforms to facilitate the works.
- 11. These new contracts will be awarded based on a geographical split (north and south) of the borough, each providing all of the works, with a maximum term for each contract of three years.
 - a. Contract A south Camberwell, Peckham, Nunhead and Peckham Rye, Dulwich
 - b. Contract B north Walworth, Borough and Bankside, Bermondsey, Rotherhithe
- 12. The rationale for the contract duration is to allow asset management time to evaluate the effectiveness of the contracts described above in paragraph 7 and whether they deliver value for money, and allow sufficient time to re-procure the contracts.

Summary of the business case/justification for the procurement

- 13. The responsive repairs and maintenance contracts will contribute to maintaining the council's housing stock complementing the warm dry and safe works already undertaken and future QHIP. These contracts will fall within the scope of the Right To Repair Regulations 1994.
- 14. Residents rely on the council to ensure that all communal and external areas are maintained to a standard to sustain the appearance of homes and communal areas. The council also needs to ensure that preventative ground drainage maintenance is undertaken to reduce the demand on responsive repairs. The provision of these contracts will contribute to the health and safety and quality of life of council residents.

- 15 Subjecting these requirements to competitive tender will demonstrate best value to leaseholders. Historically these works have always been sub-contracted. There is a need to ensure service delivery and therefore these two contracts will provide back up arrangements.
- 16. The organisation of service delivery areas (north and south) reflect the volume of orders and values, and present to the market packages that local small and medium sized enterprises (SME) can tender for and resource. This is expected to attract highly competitive tenders.
- 17. The two year contract period, with the option to extend by a further year, will enable officers to analyse the contracts' approach to determine its effectiveness. This analysis will form the basis for procurement of longer term contracts.

Market considerations

- 18. The estimated value of these works contracts falls below the EU tender threshold. As such it is proposed to competitively tender these works to contractors on the council's works Approved List under the general building maintenance and ground works categories.
- 19. Assessment by asset management team indicates that the market is well developed as there are 40 suitably sized contractors (within the London area) to whom the council can tender the works and a tender list will be drawn up in accordance with contract standing orders (CSO) 4.3 with the assistance of the council's finance and governance department.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

Options for procurement route including procurement approach

- 20. The engineering and compliance team considered the following options before determining the procurement strategy set out in this report:
 - Do nothing this is not an option to the council. As a landlord and employer, it is essential that the council maintains the communal areas.
 - The council provides these services in-house the council's SBS department currently provide responsive repairs and maintenance in the north of the borough. However, as these works will be chargeable to leaseholders, the council would risk not recovering its costs from leasehold contributions should these works not be procured. This has therefore been precluded as an option but SBS will be invited to tender for these works.
 - The use of internal or external frameworks there are no existing external frameworks.
 - Shared Services the neighbouring boroughs already have their own contracts in place, which have not been opened up for other boroughs to use.
- 21. As none of the above options are suitable and these works are estimated below the EU threshold for works contracts, it is recommended that these works are competitively tendered in line with CSO 4.3, with contractors invited from the general building maintenance and ground works categories of the council's works Approved List as set out in paragraph 22 below.

Proposed procurement route

22. The proposed procurement route is to undertake a competitive tender inviting a minimum of five contractors from the general building maintenance ground works categories of the council's works Approved List as well as SBS and any nominated contractors.

Identified risks for the procurement

23. The table below identifies a number of risks with this procurement, the likelihood of occurrence and the controls in place to mitigate the risks.

| R/N | Risk Identification | Likelihood | Risk Control |
|------|---|------------|---|
| R1 . | The procurement process fails due to inadequate quality of submissions by tenderers | Low | Ensure that tender documents are drafted to facilitate submissions of required standard. |
| R2 | The procurement process is delayed | Low | Effective procurement project management. |
| R3 | Contractor(s) ceases trading, goes into liquidation or administration leaving works incomplete. | Low | Use of the council's works Approved List means that credentials and financial stability of tenderers are assured. Second stage appraisal will test financial stability of tenderers. |
| | | , | Use of the backup contractor or the council's works Approved List whilst a reprocurement is undertaken. |

24. A performance bond will not be required for either contract. The contract documentation will include for a percentage of monies to be withheld until satisfactory completion of the contracts. An ultimate holding/parent company guarantee will be required if the successful contractor(s) has a parent company.

Key /Non Key decisions

25. This report deals with a non-key decision.

Policy implications

26. The works provided through these contracts will contribute to the council's Fairer Future Promise of quality affordable homes, improving housing standards and revitalising neighbourhoods.

Procurement project plan (Non Key decisions)

| Activity | Completed by |
|---|--------------|
| DCRB Review Gateway 1: | 02/10/2017 |
| Brief relevant cabinet member (over £100k) | 02/10/2017 |
| Approval of Gateway 1: Procurement strategy report | 04/10/2017 |
| Issue Notice of Intention (Applies to Housing Section 20 Leaseholder consultation only) | 09/10/2017 |
| Completion of tender documentation | 22/11/2017 |

| Activity: | Completed by |
|--|--------------|
| Invitation to tender | 27/11/2017 |
| Closing date for return of tenders | 29/01/2018 |
| Completion of evaluation of tenders | 26/02/2018 |
| Forward Plan (if GW2 is key decision) | Jan 2018 |
| Issue Notice of Proposal (Applies to Housing Section 20 Leaseholder consultation only) | 05/03/2018 |
| DCRB Review Gateway 2: Contract award report | 19/03/2018 |
| Notification of forthcoming decision (if GW2 is key decision) | 28/03/2018 |
| Approval of Gateway 2: Contract Award Report | 03/04/2018 |
| End of scrutiny Call-in period and notification of implementation of Gateway 2 decision (If GW2 is key decision) | 11/04/2018 |
| Contract award | 16/04/2018 |
| Add to Contract Register | 18/04/2018 |
| TUPE Consultation period (if applicable) | 21/09/2018 |
| Contract start | 03/10/2018 |
| Initial Contract completion date | 02/10/2020 |
| Contract completion date – if extension(s) exercised | 02/10/2021 |

TUPE/Pensions implications

- 27. The appointment of new contractors for the existing services in this proposed retender is likely to amount to a Service Provision Change under The Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (TUPE). TUPE will apply on a service provision change where activities cease to be carried out by a contractor on the council's behalf and are instead carried out by another contractor on its behalf, where there is an organised grouping of employees whose principal purpose is the carrying out of that activity, and where the activity is to be carried out otherwise than in connection with a single specific event or task of short-term duration. Only those employees assigned to the transferring activity will transfer. This may affect both those providing services under the contract through Mears Ltd. as well as staff providing services under the SLA with SBS in the event that this procurement results in those services being delivered by a new provider.
- 28. Mears Ltd. has potentially around 100 employees providing services under the contract at present and a number (approximately 20) of individuals engaged as subcontractors. There are also likely individuals engaged as subcontractors carrying out services under the SLA with SBS that may be in the scope of this contract. Further information is being

- sought from Mears Ltd. and SBS to assess the number of employees who may be in scope to transfer to any new provider.
- 29. There may also be TUPE implications for the council as an employer should there be an organised grouping of employees whose principal purpose is the carrying out services under the SLA with SBS that will be within the scope of this contract. Further information is being sought to ascertain the number of affected employees who may be in scope to transfer to any new provider.
- 30. There may be pension implications for the council in regard to any affected employees of the council and of Mears Ltd. who are members of or who may be eligible for membership of the LGPS. Further information is being sought to determine the extent of these pension implications.
- 31. The above information is required before the tender process commences as relevant TUPE and pension details need included in the tender pack.
- 32. Definitive advice on the full scope of any pension or TUPE implications cannot be determined until due diligence is carried out. This due diligence work needs to be carried out before the tender process commences as the results need to be included in the tender pack.

Development of the tender documentation

33. The asset management team with the assistance of legal services and the procurement advice team will prepare tender documentation. The form of contract to be used will be JCT Measured Term Contract 2016, which will be subject to amendment as directed by the council's legal services department. The contract documents will be passed to the legal services department for formal execution.

Advertising the contract

34. Not applicable as contractors will be selected from the council's works Approved List and any contractor nominated by leaseholders.

Evaluation

- 35. Tenders will be evaluated on the basis of M.E.A.T (most economically advantageous tender) using a weighted model of 70:30 price and quality.
- 36. Price evaluation will be undertaken by officers in the engineering and compliance team and checked by officers in the housing and modernisation finance team.
- 37. Officers in the asset management team will undertake quality evaluation. An evaluation methodology will be agreed with the procurement advice team and legal services.

Community impact statement

- 38. The two contracts are borough wide and support the council's Fairer Future Promises for quality affordable homes and revitalised neighbourhoods.
- 39. Both contracts will be of a low impact to tenants, homeowners and other stakeholders as these works will be done externally.

Social Value considerations

40. The Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 requires that the council considers, before commencing a procurement process, how wider social, economic and environmental benefits that may improve the well being of the local area can be secured. The details of how social value will be incorporated within the tender are set out in the following paragraphs.

Economic considerations

41. The cost of these contracts is contained in paragraph 1 of this report.

- 42. The successful contractors will be required to provide apprenticeship opportunities to Southwark residents through the Southwark apprenticeships standard. The contract manager will monitor the contractors and report on progress. The standard addresses four key areas that the council has learnt are central to the success of any apprenticeship:
 - Minimum contract of 12 months
 - Payment of London Living Wage (LLW)
 - Quality training provision
 - Mentoring and support
- 43. The contracts will contain the requirement to provide work experience for schools and those not in education, employment or training.
- 44. SME's will be selected from the council's works Approved List.

Social considerations

- 45. The successful contractors will be required to demonstrate that they operate an Equal Opportunities Policy, comply with the provisions of the Equalities Legislation, the Employment Relations Act 1999 (Blacklists) Regulations 2010 and the Prevent Duty under section 26 of the Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015.
- 46. The council can exclude companies who break the law by blacklisting if they are either still blacklisting or have not put into place genuine acts concerning past blacklisting activities. The council can require "self-cleaning" which enables a potential contractor to show that it has or will take measures to put right its earlier wrong doing and to prevent them from re-occurring and to provide evidence that the measures taken by the economic operator are sufficient to demonstrate it has:
 - "Owned Up": clarified the facts and circumstances in a comprehensive manner by actively collaborating with the investigating authority
 - "Cleaned Up": taken concrete technical, organisation and personnel measures that are appropriate to prevent further criminal offences or misconduct, and
 - "Paid Up": paid or undertaken to pay compensation in respect of any damage caused.
- 47. The council's contract conditions will include an express condition requiring compliance with the blacklisting regulations and include a provision to allow the contracts to be terminated for breach of these requirements. In addition, the council's contract conditions will include an express condition requiring compliance with the Prevent Duty. The council's working in occupied premises policies will include this provision and will require the successful contractors to notify the council if they have any concerns.
- 48. The successful contractors are expected to meet the LLW requirements. For these contracts, the quality improvements are expected to include a high calibre of staff that will contribute to the delivery of the services on site and it is therefore considered that best value will be achieved by including this requirement. As part of the tender process, tenderers will be required to confirm that they pay the staff, who will be engaged on the contracts, equal to or more than the minimum LLW hourly rate and will continue to do so through the contract term and confirm how productivity will be improved by payment of LLW. On award, any associated quality improvements and cost implications will be monitored as part of an annual review of each contract.
- 49. The successful contractors will be encouraged to consider trades union recognition in line with the council's Fairer Future Procurement Strategy.

50. The successful contractors will be encouraged to register with and seek to secure accreditation through the TfL Fleet Operator Recognition Scheme (FORS).

Environmental/Sustainability considerations

- 51. The use of low emission vehicles and the planning of journeys will be encouraged within the contracts.
- 52. Chemical safe products approved by the water authorities will be used for rainwater and drainage works.

Plans for the monitoring and management of the contract

- 53. The contracts will be let by the engineering and compliance team. Contract management will be undertaken by the repairs and maintenance teams.
- 54. Key performance indicators will be set and challenged to ensure the successful contractors' performance.
- 55. The council's commercial team will review all applications for payment and monitor and administer defaults and recovery of costs for poor performance.
- 56. To ensure robust contract management arrangements are in place, officers will undertake audit site inspections to ensure that method statements are adhered to and re-instatement works are compliant and delivered to a high standard.
- 57. Monthly progress meetings, to be attended by officers will be arranged and recorded to review performance and compliance.

Staffing/procurement implications

58. There will be no impact on staff as the existing engineering and compliance management team are already performing the contract management functions.

Financial implications

- 59. These works are currently performed by the repairs contractors (SBS and Mears) and are sub-contracted out, these will now form part of a separate communal repairs contracts (North and South) from October 2018 for a period of 2 years, with an option to extend for a further year.
- 60. The estimated cost of the proposed communal repairs contracts is £1.3m per annum and can be met from the current (2017/18) repairs and maintenance budget.
- 61. The repairs and maintenance budget allocation may be subject to further adjustments depending on budgetary pressures and will be reconfirmed at the GW2 contract award stage.

Investment implications

62. None

Legal implications

63. Please see supplementary advice from the director of law and democracy at paragraph 72.

Consultation

64. Consultation with residents will be held at the monthly tenants and residents associations meetings and estate walkabouts.

Other implications or issues

65. None

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

Head of Procurement

- 66. This report seeks approval for the procurement strategy of two communal responsive repair contracts for a period of up to 3 years. The report explains the two contracts will be based on a geographical split and provides justification for having two separate contracts.
- 67. The report advises that the works are essential to ensure that all communal areas are properly maintained in all buildings and associated communal areas in accordance with the council's standard building risk assessment methodology.
- 68. The options for procuring these works have been explored and the report concludes that the most viable option is for the council to carry out a sub OJEU competitive tender process. The process described in the report is in line with the Council's contract standing orders (CSO's) and EU regulations.
- 69. The report confirms that the evaluation shall be carried out on the basis of the most economically advantageous tender and in determining this shall use a price/quality ratio of 70:30 which is in line with the Council's preferred ratio.
- 70. The project timetable included within the report is achievable for the proposed procurement strategy, provided that appropriate resources are allocated to the project at the appropriate time.

Director of Law and Democracy

- 71. This report seeks the approval of the strategic director of housing and modernisation for the procurement strategy to procure two contracts as further detailed in paragraph 1.
- 72. The nature and value of these works are such that they are subject to the council's contract standing orders (CSO). CSO 4.3 requires all reasonable steps to be taken to obtain at least 5 tenders and those invited to submit tenders must be selected from the council's works approved list.
- 73. Paragraph 22 of this report confirms that this process is to be followed.
- 74. As the value of the intended contracts are below the relevant EU threshold, the decision to approve this procurement strategy is reserved to the relevant chief officer (or under her delegated authority).

Strategic Director of Finance and Governance

75. None

Director of Exchequer (for housing contracts only)

76. This is a qualifying long term agreement under the terms of the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002. The work streams referred to are service chargeable costs and consultation will be required under schedule 1 of the regulations. It is necessary to complete the Notice of Intention consultation before tenders are invited for this contract.

PART A - TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL DELEGATED DECISIONS

Under the powers delegated to me in accordance with the council's Contract Standing Orders, I authorise action in accordance with the recommendation(s) contained in the above report.

Signature Call Scot. Date 12.10.2017.

Gerri Scott, Strategic Director of Housing and Modernisation

Designation STRATEGIC DIRECTOR HOUSING + MODERNISATION

PART B - TO BE COMPLETED BY THE DECISION TAKER FOR:

- 1) All key decisions taken by officers
- 2) Any non-key decisions which are sufficiently important and/or sensitive that a reasonable member of the public would reasonably expect it to be publicly available.

| 1. D | | |
|------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

As set out in the recommendations of the report.

2. REASONS FOR DECISION

As set out in the report.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED BY THE OFFICER WHEN MAKING THE DECISION

As set out in the report.

4. ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY ANY CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE OFFICER WHICH RELATES TO THIS DECISION

| 5. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 有國(0)。 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

If a decision taker or cabinet member is unsure as to whether there is a conflict of interest they should contact the legal governance team for advice.

6. DECLARATION ON CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS

I declare that I was informed of no conflicts of interests.*

or

I declare that I was informed of the conflicts of interests set out in Part B4.*

(* - Please delete as appropriate)

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

| Background Documents | Held At | Contact |
|----------------------|--|------------------|
| | Housing & Modernisation, Asset Management | Gavin Duncumb |
| | | Ext 50685 |
| | <u> </u> | |

G:\Asset Management\Engineering & Compliance\COMMERCIAL TEAM\Gavin Duncumb\Dave markham\Repairs

APPENDICES

| | No | Title | | |
|---|------|-------|--|--|
| l | None | None | | |

AUDIT TRAIL

330

| Lead Officer | David Markham, Director of As | set Management |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Report Author | Gavin Duncumb, Commercial I | Manager |
| Version | Final (2) | |
| Dated | 9 October 2017 | 41 |
| Key Decision? | No | |

CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER

| Officer Title | Comments Sought | Comments included |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| Strategic Director of Finance and Governance | Yes | No . |
| Head of Procurement | Yes | Yes |
| Director of Law and Democracy | Yes | Yes |
| Director of Exchequer (for housing contracts only) | Yes | Yes |
| Cabinet Member | No · | No |
| Contract Review Boards | | |
| Departmental Contract Review Board | Yes | Yes |
| Corporate Contract Review Board | No | No |
| Cabinet Member | Yes/No | Yes/No |

1170

| Data final | ronort cont | to Constituti | onal /Community . |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | | to Gonstitut | onal roominanty |
| Council/Scr | utiny leam | | |

TBC